

## COMPUTATIONAL EXAMPLE OF THE SIMPLE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (DREAM EXAMPLE)

A. Data	Group 1 (No Deprivation)	Group 2 (Some Deprivation)	Group 3 (Much Deprivation)	Total Sample
	7 5 3 4 1	5 9 12 12 7 3	21 15 17 18	
Totals	$T_1 = (7 + 5 + \dots + 1)$ $T_1 = 20$	$T_2 = (5 + 9 + \dots + 3)$ $T_2 = 48$	$T_3 = (21 + 15 + \dots + 18)$ $T_3 = 71$	$T = \sum_{j=1}^p T_j$ $= 139$
$n_j$	$n_1 = 5$	$n_2 = 6$	$n_3 = 4$	$N = \sum_{j=1}^p n_j$ $= 15$
Means	$\bar{X}_1 = \frac{20}{5} = 4.00$	$\bar{X}_2 = \frac{48}{6} = 8.00$	$\bar{X}_3 = \frac{71}{4} = 17.75$	
Sum of squared scores	$\sum X_{1j}^2 = 100$	$\sum X_{2j}^2 = 452$	$\sum X_{3j}^2 = 1279$	$\sum_{j=1}^p \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} X_{ij}^2 \right)$ $= 1831$
Squared sum of scores divided by $n_j$	$\frac{T_1^2}{n_1} = \frac{(20)^2}{5} = 80$	$\frac{T_2^2}{n_2} = \frac{(48)^2}{6} = 384$	$\frac{T_3^2}{n_3} = \frac{(71)^2}{4} = 1260.25$	$\sum_{j=1}^p \left( \frac{T_j^2}{n_j} \right)$ $= 1724.25$

$$B. (I) = \frac{T^2}{N} = \frac{(139)^2}{15} = 1288.07 \quad (II) = \sum_{j=1}^p \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} X_{ij}^2 \right) = 1831 \quad (III) = \sum_{j=1}^p \left( \frac{T_j^2}{n_j} \right) = 1724.25$$

$$C. SS_{\text{between}} = (III) - (I) = 1724.25 - 1288.07 = 436.18 \quad df = C - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$$

$$SS_{\text{within}} = (II) - (III) = 1831 - 1724.25 = 106.75 \quad df = N - C = 15 - 3 = 12$$

$$SS_{\text{total}} = (II) - (I) = 1831 - 1288.07 = 542.93 \quad df = N - 1 = 15 - 1 = 14$$

$$MS_{\text{between}} = \frac{SS_{\text{between}}}{df_{\text{between}}} = \frac{436.18}{2} = 218.09 \quad MS_{\text{within}} = \frac{SS_{\text{within}}}{df_{\text{within}}} = \frac{106.75}{12} = 8.90$$

## D. Summary Table

Source	df	SS	MS	$F_{\alpha}$
Between groups	2	436.18	218.09	$\frac{MS_{\text{between}}}{MS_{\text{within}}} = \frac{218.09}{8.90}$ $= 24.50^{**}$
Within groups	12	106.75	8.90	
Total	14	542.93		

Critical values ( $df = 2, 12$ )  $*F_{.05} = 3.88, p < .05$  $**F_{.01} = 6.93, p < .01$

## THE ANALYSIS-OF-VARANCE TABLE

Since several steps are involved in the computation of both the between- and within-group variances, the entire set of results may be organized into an *analysis-of-variance (ANOVA) table*.

Analysis-of-variance table

Source	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square (variance)	F
Between	$SSB = \sum_i n_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{\bar{X}})^2$	$c - 1$	$MSB = SSB/(c - 1)$	$MSB/MSW$
Within	$SSW = \sum_i \sum_j (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2$	$n - c$	$MSW = SSW/(n - c)$	
Total	$SST = \sum_i \sum_j (X_{ij} - \bar{\bar{X}})^2$	$n - 1$		

Analysis-of-variance table using computational formulas

Source	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F
Between	$SSB = \sum_i \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} - \frac{(GT)^2}{n}$	$c - 1$	$\frac{SSB}{c - 1}$	$\frac{MSB}{MSW}$
Within	$SSW = \sum_i \sum_j X_{ij}^2 - \sum_i \frac{T_i^2}{n_i}$	$n - c$	$\frac{MSW}{n - c}$	
Total	$SST = \sum_i \sum_j X_{ij}^2 - \frac{(GT)^2}{n}$	$n - 1$		

A consumer organization wanted to compare the price of a particular toy in three types of Stores in a suburban county: discount toy stores, department stores, and variety stores. A random sample of 5 discount toy stores, 6 department stores, and 5 variety stores was selected. The results were as follows:

Discount Toy	Department	Variety
12	15	22
14	18	27
15	14	23
16	18	25
16	17	25
	22	

Is there evidence of a difference in average price among the types of stores at  $\alpha = 0.01$  ?