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An important concept that recurs frequently in this book is standards. This appendix provides some background on the nature and relevance of standards and looks at the key organizations involved in developing standards for networking and communications.

D.1 THE IMPORTANCE OF STANDARDS

It has long been accepted in the telecommunications industry that standards are required to govern the physical, electrical, and procedural characteristics of communication equipment. In the past, this view has not been embraced by the computer industry. Whereas communication equipment vendors recognize that their equipment will generally interface to and communicate with other vendors' equipment, computer vendors have traditionally attempted to monopolize their customers. The proliferation of computers and distributed processing has made that an untenable position. Computers from different vendors must communicate with each other and, with the ongoing evolution of protocol standards, customers will no longer accept special-purpose protocol conversion software development. The result is that standards now permeate all the areas of technology discussed in this book.

There are a number of advantages and disadvantages to the standards-making process. The principal advantages of standards are:

- A standard assures that there will be a large market for a particular piece of equipment or software. This encourages mass production and, in some cases, the use of large-scale-integration (LSI) or very-large-scale-integration (VLSI) techniques, resulting in lower costs.
- A standard allows products from multiple vendors to communicate, giving the purchaser more flexibility in equipment selection and use.

The principal disadvantages of standards are:
• A standard tends to freeze the technology. By the time a standard is developed, subjected to review and compromise, and promulgated, more efficient techniques are possible.

• There are multiple standards for the same thing. This is not a disadvantage of standards per se, but of the current way things are done. Fortunately, in recent years the various standards-making organizations have begun to cooperate more closely. Nevertheless, there are still areas where multiple conflicting standards exist.

D.2 INTERNET STANDARDS AND THE INTERNET SOCIETY

Many of the protocols that make up the TCP/IP protocol suite have been standardized or are in the process of standardization. By universal agreement, an organization known as the Internet Society is responsible for the development and publication of these standards. The Internet Society is a professional membership organization that oversees a number of boards and task forces involved in Internet development and standardization.

This section provides a brief description of the way in which standards for the TCP/IP protocol suite are developed.

The Internet Organizations and RFC Publication

The Internet Society is the coordinating committee for Internet design, engineering, and management. Areas covered include the operation of the Internet itself and the standardization of protocols used by end systems on the Internet for interoperability. Three organizations under the Internet Society are responsible for the actual work of standards development and publication:

• **Internet Architecture Board (IAB):** Responsible for defining the overall architecture of the Internet, providing guidance and broad direction to the IETF

• **Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF):** The protocol engineering and development arm of the Internet

• **Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG):** Responsible for technical management of IETF activities and the Internet standards process
Working groups chartered by the IETF carry out the actual development of new standards and protocols for the Internet. Membership in a working group is voluntary; any interested party may participate. During the development of a specification, a working group will make a draft version of the document available as an Internet Draft, which is placed in the IETF's "Internet Drafts" online directory. The document may remain as an Internet Draft for up to six months, and interested parties may review and comment on the draft. During that time, the IESG may approve publication of the draft as an RFC (Request for Comment). If the draft has not progressed to the status of an RFC during the six-month period, it is withdrawn from the directory. The working group may subsequently publish a revised version of the draft.

The IETF is responsible for publishing the RFCs, with approval of the IESG. The RFCs are the working notes of the Internet research and development community. A document in this series may be on essentially any topic related to computer communications and may be anything from a meeting report to the specification of a standard.

The work of the IETF is divided into eight areas, each with an area director and each composed of numerous working groups. Table D.1 shows the IETF areas and their focus.
### Table D.1 IETF Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IETF Area</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Example Working Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applications</td>
<td>Internet applications</td>
<td>Web-related protocols (HTTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EDI-Internet integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LDAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>IETF processes and procedures</td>
<td>Policy Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Process for Organization of Internet Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>Internet infrastructure</td>
<td>IPv6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PPP extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and management</td>
<td>Standards and definitions for network operations</td>
<td>SNMPv3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Remote Network Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-time applications and infrastructure</td>
<td>Protocols and applications for real-time requirements</td>
<td>Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing</td>
<td>Protocols and management for routing information</td>
<td>multicast routing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OSPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>QoS routing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Security protocols and technologies</td>
<td>Kerberos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IPSec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S/MIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Transport layer protocols</td>
<td>Differentiated services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IP telephony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RSVP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The Standardization Process**

The decision of which RFCs become Internet standards is made by the IESG, on the recommendation of the IETF. To become a standard, a specification must meet the following criteria:

- Be stable and well understood
- Be technically competent
• Have multiple, independent, and interoperable implementations with substantial operational experience
• Enjoy significant public support
• Be recognizably useful in some or all parts of the Internet

The key difference between these criteria and those used for international standards from ITU is the emphasis here on operational experience.

The left-hand side of Figure D.1 shows the series of steps, called the standards track, that a specification goes through to become a standard; this process is defined in RFC 2026. The steps involve increasing amounts of scrutiny and testing. At each step, the IETF must make a recommendation for advancement of the protocol, and the IESG must ratify it. The process begins when the IESG approves the publication of an Internet Draft document as an RFC with the status of Proposed Standard.

The white boxes in the diagram represent temporary states, which should be occupied for the minimum practical time. However, a document must remain a Proposed Standard for at least six months and a Draft Standard for at least four months to allow time for review and comment. The gray boxes represent long-term states that may be occupied for years.

For a specification to be advanced to Draft Standard status, there must be at least two independent and interoperable implementations from which adequate operational experience has been obtained.

After significant implementation and operational experience has been obtained, a specification may be elevated to Internet Standard. At this point, the Specification is assigned an STD number as well as an RFC number.

Finally, when a protocol becomes obsolete, it is assigned to the Historic state.

Internet Standards Categories
All Internet standards fall into one of two categories:

• Technical specification (TS): A TS defines a protocol, service, procedure, convention, or format. The bulk of the Internet standards are TSs.
• **Applicability statement (AS):** An AS specifies how, and under what circumstances, one or more TSs may be applied to support a particular Internet capability. An AS identifies one or more TSs that are relevant to the capability, and may specify values or ranges for particular parameters associated with a TS or functional subsets of a TS that are relevant for the capability.

**Other RFC Types**

There are numerous RFCs that are not destined to become Internet standards. Some RFCs standardize the results of community deliberations about statements of principle or conclusions about what is the best way to perform some operations or IETF process function. Such RFCs are designated as Best Current Practice (BCP). Approval of BCPs follows essentially the same process for approval of Proposed Standards. Unlike standards-track documents, there is not a three-stage process for BCPs; a BCP goes from Internet draft status to approved BCP in one step.

A protocol or other specification that is not considered ready for standardization may be published as an Experimental RFC. After further work, the specification may be resubmitted. If the specification is generally stable, has resolved known design choices, is believed to be well understood, has received significant community review, and appears to enjoy enough community interest to be considered valuable, then the RFC will be designated a Proposed Standard.

Finally, an Informational Specification is published for the general information of the Internet community.

---

**D.3 NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY**

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), part of the U.S. Commerce Department, issues standards and guidelines for use by U.S. government departments and agencies. These standards and guidelines are issued in the form of Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS). NIST develops FIPS when there are compelling federal government requirements such as for security and interoperability and there are no acceptable industry standards or solutions.
• NIST announces the proposed FIPS in the Federal Register for public review and comment. At the same time that the proposed FIPS is announced in the Federal Register, it is also announced on NIST’s Web site. The text and associated specifications, if applicable, of the proposed FIPS are posted on the NIST Web site.

• A 90-day period is provided for review and for submission of comments on the proposed FIPS to NIST. The date by which comments must be submitted to NIST is specified in the Federal Register and in the other announcements.

• Comments received in response to the Federal Register notice and to the other notices are reviewed by NIST to determine if modifications to the proposed FIPS are needed.

• A detailed justification document is prepared, analyzing the comments received and explaining whether modifications were made, or explaining why recommended changes were not made.

• NIST submits the recommended FIPS, the detailed justification document, and recommendations as to whether the standard should be compulsory and binding for Federal government use, to the Secretary of Commerce for approval.

• A notice announcing approval of the FIPS by the Secretary of Commerce is published in the Federal Register, and on NIST’s Web site.

Although NIST standards are developed for U.S. government use, many of them are widely used in industry. AES and DES are prime examples.
Figure D.1  Internet RFC Publication Process